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ABSTRACT
Background: Different retreatment options are available for management of post LASIK residual myopia and myopic astigmatism, however PRK reduces the risk of ectasia by preserving the corneal stroma as much as possible and avoids the flap-related complications.

Purpose: To evaluate the outcome as regards the safety, efficacy, and predictability of photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) for correction of residual myopia and myopic astigmatism after laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK).

Patients and Methods: The study included 30 eyes of 15 patients retreated by PRK for residual myopia and myopic astigmatism after LASIK. Data included uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity (UCVA and BCVA), spherical equivalent (SE), central pachymetry, corneal higher order aberrations (HOAs), corneal hysteresis (CH), corneal resistance factor (CRF), and corneal haze.

Results: This study was performed on 30 eyes of 15 patients. The mean age was 25.27 ± 3.70 SD years old (9 women and 6 men). The average interval between procedures was 13.35 ± 5.51 months. The mean follow-up was 16.58 ± 3.06 months. Before PRK, the mean UCVA and BCVA were 0.35 ± 0.18 and 0.91 ± 0.07, respectively. The mean central pachymetry was 400.21 ± 7.8 μm, the mean SE was −1.74 ± 0.51 D. 12 months postoperatively. The mean UCVA and BCVA were 0.78 ± 0.14 (P = 0.01) and 0.92 ± 0.13 (P > 0.5), respectively. The mean central corneal thickness was 382.41 ± 2.61 μm, the mean SE was −0.18 ± 0.32 D (P < 0.01). Two eyes gained 1 line of best spectacle-corrected visual acuity; one eye lost 1 line because of corneal ectasia. No other sight-threatening complications was occurred postoperatively.

Conclusions. Photorefractive keratectomy and mitomycin C was an effective, predictable, and safe procedure for correcting residual myopia and myopic astigmatism less than 3 D after LASIK. This decrease postoperative ectasia and avoids the flap related complications but has no significant effect on HOAs.
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INTRODUCTION
Laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) is the most frequently performed corneal refractive procedure. Despite technological improvements, residual postoperative refractive errors are still an issue that needs to be dealt with, especially when they interfere with the patient’s quality of life.(1-3) Retreatment, which is typically performed on 10 to 20% of patients who underwent laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK), is considered by the patients to reflect failure of the original procedure; therefore retreatment should be precise to address patient satisfaction and maintain safety.(4)

Different retreatment options are available such as LASIK enhancement, laser-assisted subepithelial keratotomy (LASEK), and trans- or subepithelial photorefractive keratotomy (PRK).(5-6) However, LASIK may not be safe as a retreatment, as an increased number of eyes with ectasia were reported following LASIK enhancement. PRK reduces the risk of ectasia by preserving the corneal stroma as much as possible and avoids the flap-related complications caused by either original flap manipulation or new flap creation. Furthermore, corneal wavefront-guided PRK can reduce flap-induced higher order aberrations, resulting in better outcomes.(7,8) Febbraro (9) and Agarwal (10) described the disadvantages of PRK retreatment such as haze/corneal scarring, more frequent regression, tissue melting, irregular astigmatism and overcorrection.

The aim of this study was to evaluate outcome of the safety, efficacy, and predictability of PRK for correction of residual myopia following LASIK.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
This study included 30 eyes of 15 patients with a residual myopia and/or myopic astigmatism after LASIK procedure. The inclusion criteria included residual mean spherical equivalent between −1.00 D and −3.00 D, stable refraction for at least 6 months before PRK, and calculated postoperative corneal thickness < 380 μm (which is not safe for LASIK retreatment). Exclusion criteria included eyes with post-LASIK complication other than myopia or myopic astigmatism as corneal ectasia, flap striae, and central islands. All PRK procedures were...
performed by the author in Al Forsan center for eye and laser surgery in Assuit. Every patient should be counseled preoperatively about possible risks, benefits, and potential complications of this treatment. Corneoscleral perforation, melts, and delayed epithelial healing after the intraoperative application of MMC. All patients received preoperative full ophthalmic examination using slit lamp examination, manifest and cycloplegic refractions, uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA), best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), applanation tonometry, and dilated fundoscopy. Visual acuity was determined using a standard acuity chart at 6 meters. Dual scan corneal tomography which combines rotating Scheimpflug imaging with Placido disc corneal topography using Orbscan (Orbscan, Bausch & Lomb Incorporated, Rochester, NY, USA) was performed to determine the residual corneal thickness and HOAs.

**Procedures**

The corneal epithelial layer was removed after topical surface anesthesia by laser using Trans-PRK mode. The calculated epithelial thickness to be removed ranged between 50 and 65 microns and the epithelial ablation profile were adjusted by complex software to the degree of error to be corrected. Then, a corneal wavefront-guided surface ablation of the flap was performed using Allegretto Excimer Laser (The WaveLight® Allegretto excimer laser) with targeted refraction of emmetropia. The ablation should promptly follow epithelial removal to prevent drying of the ocular surface. The optic zone diameter was 6 mm with a 1 mm transition zone. Immediately following the ablation, the MMC 0.02% (0.2 mg/ml) treatment was performed for 2 minutes. The corneal surface and the entire conjunctiva were then vigorously irrigated with 10 ml of cold normal saline to remove any residual MMC. A bandage contact lens was placed at the end of the procedure. All operated eyes received postoperative treatment with 0.3% gatifloxacin 4 times/day for 1–2 weeks after healing of the epithelium and replaced by fluorometholone 0.25% 4 times/day for 3–6 months based on the degree of corneal haze and intraocular pressure.

Patients’ follow up data were reported at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. The main outcome measures included UCVA, BCVA, refractive predictability and stability, residual refractive error, and HOAs as well as any reported complication as corneal haze and corneal ectasia. Corneal haze was graded on a scale of 0 to 4 according to Fantes classification (11).

Data were collected, revised, coded and entered to the Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS) version 23. The quantitative data were presented as mean, standard deviations and ranges when their distribution was found parametric and qualitative data were presented as number and percentages. A P value of < 0.05 was considered significant statistically.

**RESULTS**

The study included 30 eyes, 18 eyes of 9 female patients (60%) and 12 eyes of 6 male patients (40%) as shown in figure (1). Age ranged from 21 to 32 years old, the mean age was 25.26±3.72 SD. Residual myopia after LASIK was due to undercorrection and/or myopic regression. The residual mean myopic spherical equivalent was 1.74 ± 0.51 D (range 1.0–3.00 D). The mean astigmatism was 0.75 ± 0.55 D (0.00–2.76 D). The mean duration between LASIK and PRK was 13.35 ± 5.51 months (range 7–20 months). Preoperative mean UCVA was 0.37 ± 0.19 (range 0.1–0.8). Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) ranged from 0.6–1 with a mean of 0.80 ± 0.20 SD, and the mean central corneal thickness was 395.31 ± 8.9 μm (range 385–460 μm).

![Fig. (1): Gender.](image)

The mean keratometric power at the anterior corneal surface ranged from 36.9 – 43.5 D with a mean of 40.31 ± 1.87 SD the mean keratometric power of the posterior corneal surface ranged from -6.0 to -6.5D with a mean of -6.27 ± 0.16 SD. Asphericity: Anterior Q-value ranged from -0.6 to -0.3 with a mean of -0.38 ± 0.08 SD. Posterior Q-value ranged from -0.50 to -0.03 with a mean of -0.29 ± 0.17.

The vertical radius of curvatures of the anterior corneal surface ranged from 7.38 to 8.13 with a mean of 7.64 ± 0.24 SD. While horizontal radius (Rh) was ranged from 7.5 to 7.99 with a mean of 7.68 ± 0.16 SD. The vertical radius of curvatures of the posterior corneal surface ranged from 6.1 to 6.83 with a mean of 6.28 ± 0.28 SD. While horizontal radius (Rh) was ranged from 6.08 to 6.76 with a mean of 6.39 ± 0.21 SD.
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12 months post PRK; the mean keratometric power at the anterior corneal surface ranged from 36.8 – 43.4 D with a mean of 40.30 ± 1.77 SD which was statistically significant (p=0.001). The mean keratometric power of the posterior corneal surface was ranged from -6.5 to -6D with a mean of -6.26 ± 0.15 SD which wasn’t statistically significant(p=0.899).

The anterior corneal elevations were 4 mm from the center ranged from -5 to 12 with a mean of 3.27 ± 3.30SD and at 7 mm from the center; anterior corneal elevations ranged from -36 to 44 with a mean of 4.47 ± 21.07 SD that showed significant increase of the anterior corneal elevations at 4 mm and 7 mm from the center six months after PRK (p=0.001 for both).

Posterior corneal elevations were 4 mm from the center ranged from -24 to 12 with a mean of -1.10 ± 8.13 SD and at 7 mm from the center; posterior corneal elevations ranged from -42 to 63 with a mean of 5.83 ± 34.63 SD which showed no significant increase of the posterior corneal elevations at 4 mm and 7 mm from the center six months after PRK (p=0.834, p=0.961 respectively). The radius of horizontal meridian (Rh); ranged from 7.53 to 9.05 with a mean of 8.20 ± 0.44 SD, while that of the vertical meridian (Rv) ranged from 7.53 to 8.91 with a mean of 8.26 ± 0.40 SD which was statistically significant increase of the Rh and Rv six months after PRK (p=0.001).

12 months post PRK, the radius of horizontal meridian (Rh); ranged from 6.03 to 6.72 with a mean of 6.32 ± 0.19 SD, while that of the vertical meridian (Rv) ranged from 6.01 to 6.8 with a mean of 6.28 ± 0.27 SD which wasn’t statistically significant change of the Rh and Rv 12 months after PRK (P=0.054, p=0.076 respectively).

Fig (2): Preoperative four maps refractive display showing sagittal curvature of the anterior corneal elevation maps one for the anterior surface and the other for the posterior surface with central corneal thickness of 491 um.
Fig (3): postoperative four maps refractive display showing sagittal curvature of the anterior corneal, 2 elevation maps one for the anterior surface and the other for the posterior surface with central corneal thickness of 460 μm.

There was non-significant Correlation (indirect proportion) between changes in pachymetry and mean keratometric power of the anterior surface 12 months post PRK ($r = -0.328$, $p = 0.077$) as shown in figure (4).

The mean ablation depth was $28.24 \pm 6.81 \mu m$, and the mean central corneal thickness 12 months after PRK was $389.55 \pm 3.41 \mu m$ (ranged from 385 to 401 μm).

The mean BCVA at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively were $0.83 \pm 0.18$ (range 0.65–1.00), $0.85 \pm 0.16$ (range 0.7–1.00), $0.90 \pm 0.14$ (range 0.8–1.00), and $0.91 \pm 0.14$ (range 0.8–1.00), respectively. The differences between pre- and postoperative values were not significant statistically ($P > 0.05$) at all follow-up visits. The mean UCVA was significantly improved at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively to $0.72 \pm 0.15$ (range 0.4–1.00) ($P = 0.04$), $0.75 \pm 0.13$ (range 0.6–1.00) ($P = 0.01$), $0.77 \pm 0.13$ (range 0.6–1.00) ($P = 0.01$), and $0.79 \pm 0.15$ (range 0.6–1.00) ($P = 0.01$), respectively. The postoperative changes in UCVA were not significant statistically ($P > 0.05$) between all follow-up visits. UCVA was nearly stabilized after 6 months up to 12 months.

Preoperatively, the mean total corneal HOAs were $0.29 \pm 0.16 \mu m$ (range 0.22–0.48 μm). Postoperatively, the mean total corneal HOAs were $0.29 \pm 0.12 \mu m$ (range 0.22–0.490 μm). The
postoperative changes in HOAs were not significant statistically (P > 0.05).
As regard complication Grades 1 to 2 corneal haze was observed in 12 eyes (40%) and this haze disappeared during the first 2-3 months postoperatively. Corneal ectasia was detected in one eye (3.33%) of the study patients which lead to loss of one line of preoperative BCVA further managed by corneal collagen cross linking (CXL). No other vision-threatening complications were detected.

**DISCUSSION**
It has been reported that regression of myopia is a universal phenomenon after excimer laser correction of myopia and is greater for higher corrections. The reasons for myopic regression could be epithelial hyperplasia, corneal steepening because of thinning change in corneal biomechanics, and lenticular sclerosis (12). LASIK enhancement by flap lifting and laser application to the underlying stroma is not safe in eyes with insufficient residual corneal stromal thickness (13, 14). Lee et al. (15) reported that PRK is highly effective and safe for patients with previous LASIK and in whom the surgeon would prefer not to do a flap-lift enhancement. However, PRK is still having its own indications when LASIK is not safe to be performed. One of these indications is the absence of enough stromal thickness under the flap sufficient for full correction of residual ametropia by LASIK enhancement. Introduction of wavefront technology has raised the chances for correction of residual errors and other complications after LASIK (16).

This study included 30 eyes having residual myopia with or without myopic astigmatism and thin corneas after myopic LASIK correction. All eyes were corrected by wavefront-guided PRK combined with MMC application over the flap surface.

At 12 months after PRK, the mean SE showed statistically significant improvement. However, the improvement in astigmatism was less than the improvement achieved in spherical myopia. All eyes in this study had low myopic error. In this study, no myopic or hyperopic shift, was reported after 6 months and up to 12 months. At the end of the follow-up period, 28 eyes (93.3%) were within ±0.5 D. Alió et al. (17) have reported refractive stability without significant late regression was maintained up to ten-year follow-up of photorefractive keratectomy for myopia of less than -6 diopters.

The mean BCVA did not show a change and no eye had lost any line of BCVA. However, both UCVA and BCVA were decreased during first 4 weeks due to corneal haze but they improved gradually after that and become almost stable after 6 months. The mean UCVA showed significant improvement from 0.35 ± 0.18 preoperatively to 0.78 ± 0.14 at the end of the follow-up period. Two eyes gain one line more. This finding correlated with the study of Nakanihsi et al. (18) which reported that eyes with lower myopia tend to achieve higher postoperative UCVA. In Shaikh et al. study (19) which evaluated the safety and efficacy of PRK on corneas previously treated with LASIK in 15 eyes. They concluded that PRK was a safe procedure that could reduce refractive error and improve both UCVA and BCVA in corneas previously treated with LASIK surgery.

The changes in corneal HOAs after PRK were not statistically significant in spite of using corneal wavefront guided PRK. This could be explained by the presence of another interface below the flap.

Corneal haze grades 1 to 2 corneal haze was observed in 12 eyes (40%) and this haze disappeared during the first 2-3 months postoperatively. Corneal haze is more common after PRK than after LASIK due to more activation of corneal fibroblasts and keratocytes following PRK as reported by Febbraro (9) and Agarwal (10). In this study, no statistically significant differences were found between the values of CH and CRF before and after PRK and throughout the follow-up period. This stability could be due to application of the excimer laser over the flap without ablating the residual stromal bed. Postoperative corneal ectasia was detected in one eye (3.33%) of the study patients further managed by corneal collagen cross linking (CXL). No other vision-threatening complications were detected.

The retreatment rates for residual undercorrection and induced astigmatism following LASIK ranged widely from 5% to 37.9% (20, 21). The most commonly used technique for LASIK retreatment was flap re-lift or flap re-cutting. However, complications such as buttonhole creation, post-LASIK dry eye syndrome, thin residual stromal bed, and the inability to identify the flap edge can limit surgical options for retreatment. (22) In addition, re-lifting an old flap increases the risk of epithelial ingrowth, flap tear, striae, and diffuse lamellar keratitis (20). To avoid these complications, photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) could be used. In the past, use of PRK after LASIK had been complicated by severe stromal haze formation. The use of mitomycin C (MMC)
0.02% (0.2 mg/ml) had gained popularity in the prevention of corneal haze development. In conclusion, residual myopia less than 3 D after LASIK could be safely and effectively treated by PRK and mitomycin C with high predictability. This procedure decrease postoperative ectasia and avoided the flap-related complications caused by manipulation of the original flap or creation of a new flap. On the other hand, it has no significant effect on corneal HOAs.
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