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ABSTRACT
Background: Impaction has been defined as a tooth which is prevented from completely erupting into a
normal functional position within the expected time, due to lack of space, obstruction by another tooth or an
abnormal eruption path[1], inadequate bony length and insufficient development of the retromolar space with
the tooth either partly visible/in communication with the oral cavity or completely invisible.
Objectives: To investigate the prevalence of impacted teeth and associated pathologies in Al Ahsa, Saudi
population.
Materials and Methods: this is a retrospective study. The total record of 1985 patients were examined
found 537 patients with impacted teeth and 130 patients have panoramic x-ray, digital camera was used with
plastic box on x-ray viewer to stabilize the magnification.
Results: 27.1% of Saudi patients in Al Ahsa presented with at least one impacted tooth. Among the 355
impacted teeth, the mandibular third molars were the most common impacted tooth (62.3%), followed by the
maxillary third molars (30.7%), and the least was the maxillary canine (1.7%). The results of this study
indicated that caries was associated with 23.1% of the impacted third molars and 11.5% of the distal surfaces
of adjacent second molars. The results of this study indicated that pericoronitis was associated with 61.5% of
impacted third molars. Caries was associated with 23.1% of the impacted third molars and 11.5% of the
distal surfaces of adjacent second molars. Root resorption was associated with 3% of the second molars that
was adjacent to the impacted third molars. Dentigerous cyst was associated with one of impacted tooth.
Conclusion: The prevalence of impacted teeth was high, and there was a predominant for impaction of the
mandibular third molars in this study of Al Ahsa Saudi population. Pericoronitis associated with impacted
mandibular third molar were related to the erupted maxillary third molars created potential trauma of the
pericoronal tissues of the partially erupted mandibular third molars. Caries and periodontal disease were
commonly associated with the impacted third molars, whereas root resorption and cystic pathology were
rarely observed.
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INTRODUCTION
Teeth may become impacted when they fail to erupt or develop into the proper functional
location [1]. Impaction has also been defined as a tooth which is prevented from completely erupting into
a normal functional position within the expected time, due to lack of space, obstruction by another tooth or an
abnormal eruption path [2], inadequate bony length [3] and insufficient development of the retromolar space [4] with
the tooth either partly visible/in communication with the oral cavity or completely invisible.

The principal teeth that present as impactions are either third molars or canines. The prevalence
of impacted teeth varies among different populations depending on the age group, assessment method, and diversity within the sample
population [5]. Mandible third molars are considered to be the most commonly impacted teeth. Third
molar impaction is reported to occur in 18% to 32% of the population but impaction rates of up to 40%
have been noted [6].

Incompletely erupted third molar could give cause for various symptoms and pathologies, such
as pericoronitis, pain, swelling, cheek ulceration, distal caries, bone loss and root resorption of
adjacent teeth, odontogenic cysts, benign or malignant tumors and systemic infection [7].

Eruption status, position and angulation have an impact on these symptoms and pathologies. A
partially erupted, vertical angulated mandibular third molar, at or near the same level of adjacent
second molar, is considered to have a high risk for developing pericoronitis [8]. Likely, a partially
erupted, mesioangular or horizontal angulated mandibular third molar that contacts the amelocemental junction of second molar could give
rise to caries development [9] and bone loss at the distal surface of a second molar [10].
Panoramic radiographs have been suggested for routine third molar examination because of frequent anomalies of third molar development, morphology, position, and eruption. Many imaging techniques have been developed to assess the precise anatomic location of impacted teeth, such as the periapical film, dental panoramic film, cross-sectional plane tomography, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

The impacted teeth that fail to attain a functional position may be abnormal and or associated with pathological changes and should be considered for removal. The removal of impacted third molars is the most frequent operation performed by oral and maxillofacial surgeons due to symptoms and or associated pathology. Although it is generally considered a safe procedure, some complications can occur during surgery or in the postoperative period.

Common postoperative complications associated with third molar extraction are: alveolitis, infection, postoperative bleeding, transient dysfunction of the inferior alveolar nerve and permanent dysfunction of the inferior alveolar nerve.

The literatures showed that there is considerable variation in the prevalence and distribution of impacted teeth in different regions of the jaw and in different populations (Table 1).

There is no data on the prevalence of impacted teeth and the associated pathology in Al Ahsa region of Saudi Arabia.

The aims of this study were to investigate the prevalence and pattern of impacted teeth in Al Ahsa population, and to report the features of associated pathologies.

Table (1): Prevalence and number of impacted teeth reported in the dental literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>No. of patients with impacted teeth/total no. of patients (prevalence)</th>
<th>No. of impacted teeth</th>
<th>Upper third molar</th>
<th>Lower third molar</th>
<th>Upper canine</th>
<th>Lower canine</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahlqwist d Grondahl,13</td>
<td>1991(8.3%)</td>
<td>117/1418</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aitasalo et al,11</td>
<td>1972(14.1%)</td>
<td>571/4063</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al,14</td>
<td>1982(30.8%)</td>
<td>583/1895</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dachi and Howell,15</td>
<td>1961(16.7%)</td>
<td>281/1685</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliasson et al,16</td>
<td>1961(16.7%)</td>
<td>281/1685</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haidar and Shalhoub,17</td>
<td>1986(32.3%)</td>
<td>323/1000</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hattab et al,18</td>
<td>1995(33.6%)</td>
<td>78/232</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kramer &amp; Williams,19</td>
<td>1970(18.3%)</td>
<td>684/3745</td>
<td>1218</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mead,20</td>
<td>1930(18.9%)</td>
<td>276/1462</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhu and Kapila,21</td>
<td>1982(26.0%)</td>
<td>264/1015</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah et al,24</td>
<td>1978(6.9%)</td>
<td>546/7886</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stermer Beyer-Olsen et al,27</td>
<td>1989(15.6%)</td>
<td>22/141</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamaoka et al,26</td>
<td>1995†(8.5%)</td>
<td>155/1834</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MATERIALS AND METHOD

King Fahad General Hospital in Al Ahsa Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia applied a new filling system of patients in 1427H. 328733 patients opened new file between the year 1427H and 1429H. 35210 of the new file were dental patients that require dental treatment. 1985 dental cases were referred to the surgical operation room for various investigations and surgical procedures including collection of tissue specimens and surgical removal of tumors and impacted teeth. Among these, 537 cases were for the surgical removal of impacted teeth. Out of the 537 files, only 200 files were found to have panoramic radiograph and other files contains other types of radiographs such as periapical or lateral oblique that does not meet the requirement of this study. Searching the 200 files with panoramic radiographs revealed that only 130 panoramic radiographs are suitable for this study and the remaining 70 files either had no x-ray film inside the file or the panoramic radiographic is not clear and cannot be used in this study.

To study the available 130 panoramic radiographs it was necessary to duplicate or photograph the radiographs, because it was not allowed to take the radiographs outside the reception or the clinic. Duplicating machine was not available and the photography was the only solution. Photographic set up was constructed and consist of a clear cuboid shape plastic box (figure 1), a digital camera and a light box. The dimensions of the cuboid plastic box are 31 cm long, 20.5 cm wide and 20 cm deep. The box is open from the bottom to fit over the light box and the center of the top has a hole that fit the camera lens. Such a design was selected to give the best focus and magnification of the images and stabilize the camera relationship to the light box and the film during removal and changing the panoramic radiographs. The digital camera is Nikon cool pix S4, 6 mega pixels, 10X optical zoom that was fitted over the cuboid plastic box during the session of photography. The light box is standard type x-ray light box gives good illumination. Each panoramic radiograph was fitted in the center of the light box by sticking tape. All the radiographs were photographed and transferred to digital images and stored in the computer. On the computer screen each image was thoroughly analyzed.

A tooth was defined as impacted when the tooth was obstructed on its path of eruption by an adjacent tooth, bone, or soft tissue. A tooth was defined as embedded if it was covered by bone without adjacent tooth obstructing its eruption path. The pathologies associated with impacted teeth included:
1. Caries of the impacted and/or adjacent teeth.
2. Pericoronitis.
3. Root resorption of the adjacent tooth.
4. An increase in the pericoronal space of the dental follicle of more than 2 mm around the impacted tooth.

Following the radiographic evaluations the patient files and records were re-studied to supplement the radiographic finding by the clinical examination and to determine from the chief complaint whether they attended the hospital for the impacted teeth or the signs and symptoms related to the impacted teeth. Data collected were entered into a spreadsheet (Excel 2007; Microsoft) and analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (Windows version 12.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, US).

The study was done after approval of ethical board of King Fahad Hospital.

RESULTS

The prevalence of impacted teeth in this study was 27.1% of 1985 patients that were referred to the surgical room. The range of the patient age was from 9 years to 43 years. The 130 patients with impacted teeth were divided into five age related groups with an interval of six years. Table (2) shows the prevalence of impacted teeth in different age-groups. The 23-29 years age group had the highest prevalence of tooth impaction 62.3%, followed by the 16-22 years (25.4%), the 30-36 years (6.9%), the 9-15 years (3.8%) and the least was the 37-43 years age group (1.5%).
Table (2): Prevalence of impacted teeth in different age groups of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (year)</th>
<th>PTS with impacted teeth</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 – 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 – 22</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 – 29</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 – 43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>≈100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3) showed the distribution of impacted teeth. In this study 355 teeth were impacted. The mandibular third molars were most commonly encountered with 223 impaction out of the 355 impaction (62.8%), followed by the maxillary third molars with 109 impaction (30.7%), and the maxillary canines were 6 impaction (1.7%), and maxillary central incisors were 5 impaction (1.4%), and the mandibular premolars were 4 impaction (1.2%), and the mandibular second molars were 1 impaction (0.2%). The supernumerary teeth were 7 (1.9%).

The analysis of the developmental stages and the eruptive status of the third molars in patients with impacted tooth/teeth showed that the distribution of impacted teeth was similar between the left and right sides. Out of the 332 impacted mandibular and maxillary third molars, there were 10 patients with one impacted third molar (10 impaction), 54 patients with two impacted third molar (108 impaction), 14 with three impacted third molar (42 impaction), and 43 patients with four impacted third molar (172 impaction).

Table (3): Distribution of impacted teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth type</th>
<th>FDI tooth numbering</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular third molars</td>
<td>38,48</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>62.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary third molars</td>
<td>18,28</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>30.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary canines</td>
<td>13,23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary central incisors</td>
<td>11,21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular premolars</td>
<td>34,35,44,45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular second molars</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supernumerary teeth</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>355</strong></td>
<td><strong>≈100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4) revealed the pathologies associated with impacted teeth in different age groups of patients in Al Ahsa, Saudi Arabia. 60.6% of impacted third molars had pericoronitis. 22.7% of the impacted teeth had caries on the impacted third molars. Caries were also found in 11.4% of the distal surfaces of the adjacent second molars. 3% of the second molars adjacent to the impacted third molars had root resorption on the distal surface. One of impacted tooth had dentigerous cyst.

Table (4): Pathologies associated with impacted teeth in different age groups of Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Pericoronitis</th>
<th>Caries of impacted teeth</th>
<th>Caries of adjacent teeth</th>
<th>Root resorption</th>
<th>Pericoronal space of dental follicle</th>
<th>Cysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9-15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-29</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure (2): showing caries of adjacent tooth (#37, #47)

Figure (3): showing supernumerary teeth in upper right and left side

Figure (4): showing dentigerous cyst with impacted of upper central incisor.

DISCUSSION

The use of dental panoramic tomography (DPT) for the study of impacted teeth is limited to hospital dental patients and large dental practices because of associated costs and ethical considerations [21]. The photographic set utilized in this study facilitated the analysis of the DPT for the study of impacted teeth and the associated pathologies. To ensure diagnostic validity in this study, the radiographic findings were verified with the clinical records, which were collected on standard forms as part of the routine examination process.

Impacted wisdom teeth account for 98% of all impacted teeth. The result of this study that the mandibular third molars were most commonly encountered (84.5%) is in agreement with most the previously published studies [21-22], and the impacted tooth that is most frequently extracted is the mandibular third molar. The finding in this study showed that most patients...
have two impacted third molars which is in agreement with the finding of Chu et al. [8] who also found that the Chinese patients have two impacted third molars and disagree with the finding of Ma'aita [25] who found that most patients in Jordan have four impacted third molars. The finding of this study disagrees with Mead [20] who found an equal prevalence of impactions in both jaws, and disagrees with Bjork et al. [23] who noted preponderance in the maxilla. The finding of this study is in agreement with Shah et al. [24] and Van der Linden et al. [9] who reported a higher prevalence of impacted third molar in the mandible than the maxilla. The finding of this study disagree with Duchi and Howell [15] who found 16.9% third molar impaction, of which 63.7% were maxillary and 36.5% were mandibular. Aydin et al. [28] in Turkey found a prevalence of canine impaction 3.58% while in this study the finding was 1.7% of impacted canine.

This study disagree with Ma'aita [25] who found that pericoronitis was present in 23.6% of impacted teeth compared to this study that reach to 60.6%.

Although this study may not represent the Al-Ahsa population as a whole, the patients studied represent the range of dental patients treated under general anesthesia for the various oral surgical procedures. The prevalence of impacted teeth in the study population was 27.1% out of the 1985 patients. Most age group which have impacted teeth (62.3%) were the 23-29 years, this may reflect increased dental awareness in this group of patients, mandibular third molar has 84.5% of all impacted teeth, maxillary third molars 41.7% and maxillary canine 3.03%. These numbers are comparable to those of Chu et al. [8] in Hong Kong population.

**CONCLUSION**

Impacted teeth were found in 27.1% of the 1985 patients in this study. The order of impacted tooth found was identical to previous reports, but there was a predilection for impacted mandibular third molars in this study. Three quarters of impacted third molars had impacted mandibular third molars, while more than 50% of their upper counterparts had erupted. Such a combination may lead to trauma of the pericoronal tissues by the upper third molars were 61.5%. The caries of the teeth adjacent to impacted third molars were found in approximately 11.5% of cases.
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